



X2 file 3-26-92

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

MAR 26 1992

OFFICE OF  
PESTICIDES AND TOXIC  
SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Response to a Request for an Update on an Emergency  
Exemption (Sec. 18) for Vinclozolin (Ronilan).

FROM: Douglas Urban, Acting Chief  
Ecological Effects Branch  
Environmental Fate and Effects Division (H7507C) 3/25/92

TO: Rebecca Cool, PM 41  
Registration Support Branch  
Registration Division (H7505C)

The Ecological Effects Branch has reviewed the proposed Emergency Exemption (Section 18) for Ronilan 50W or Ronilan DF in Washington State to control Sclerotinia white mold and Botryis gray mold on snap beans. The maximum application rate is 0.5 lb ai/acre with two applications per season. A total of 1000 acres are to be treated statewide.

Presently no valid environmental fate data are available for Vinclozolin. However, data on avian reproduction suggests that the chemical may effect egg fertility at a dietary concentration of 5 ppm. Therefore, the EEB concludes that the proposed use may represent a reproductive hazard to birds. Hazard to other nontarget organisms is not expected.

The only federally listed bird in Washington is the peregrine falcon. Hazard to this species should be negligible, as use on snap beans represents a minimal exposure situation for the falcon. For details see the attached review dated July, 7 1989.

For further questions please contact Dan Balluff at 305-6108.



DP BARCODE: D175122

CASE: 283322  
SUBMISSION: S412441

DATA PACKAGE RECORD  
BEAN SHEET

DATE: 03/03/92  
Page 1 of 1

\* \* \* CASE/SUBMISSION INFORMATION \* \* \*

CASE TYPE: EMERGENCY EXEMP ACTION: 510 SEC18-OC F/F USE  
CHEMICALS: 113201 3-(3,5-Dichlorophenyl)-5-ethenyl-5-methyl-2,4-oxaz

ID#: 92WA0015

COMPANY:

PRODUCT MANAGER: 41 REBECCA COOL

703-305-7717 ROOM: CM2 720

PM TEAM REVIEWER: LIBBY PEMBERTON

703-305-5309 ROOM: CM2 716A

RECEIVED DATE: 02/27/92 DUE OUT DATE: 04/17/92

\* \* \* DATA PACKAGE INFORMATION \* \* \*

DP BARCODE: 175122 EXPEDITE: N DATE SENT: 03/03/92 DATE RET.: / /  
CHEMICAL: 113201 3-(3,5-Dichlorophenyl)-5-ethenyl-5-methyl-2,4-oxazolidinedi  
DP TYPE: 001 Submission Related Data Package

ADMIN DUE DATE: 04/02/92 CSF: N

LABEL: N

ASSIGNED TO	DATE IN	DATE OUT
DIV : EFED	03/05/92	/ /
BRAN: EEB	03/05/92	/ /
SECT:	/ /	/ /
REVR :	/ /	/ /
CONTR:	/ /	/ /

\* \* \* DATA REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS \* \* \*

Please refer to last review for this use and update.

\* \* \* ADDITIONAL DATA PACKAGES FOR THIS SUBMISSION \* \* \*

DP BC	BRANCH/SECTION	DATE OUT	DUE BACK	INS	CSF	LABEL
175119	BAB	03/03/92	04/02/92	Y	N	N
175120	EAB	03/03/92	04/02/92	Y	N	N
175121	TB-2	03/03/92	04/02/92	Y	N	N

246729  
RECORD NO.

113201  
SHAUGHNESSEY NO.

REVIEW NO.

EEB REVIEW

DATE: IN 06-27-89 OUT JUL 7 1989

FILE OR REG. NO. 89-WA-19

PETITION OR EXP. NO. \_\_\_\_\_

DATE OF SUBMISSION 06-16-89

DATE RECEIVED BY EFED 06-27-89

RD REQUESTED COMPLETION DATE 07-11-89

EEB ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE 07-11-89

RD ACTION CODE/TYPE OF REVIEW 510

TYPE PRODUCT(S) Fungicide

DATA ACCESSION NOS. \_\_\_\_\_

PRODUCT MANAGER NO. D. Stubbs (41)

PRODUCT NAME(S) Ronilan (Vinclozolin)

COMPANY NAME State of Washington

SUBMISSION PURPOSE Proposed Sec. 18 for use on  
snap beans

SHAUGHNESSEY NO. CHEMICAL AND FORMULATION % AI

113201 Vinclozolin \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## EEB REVIEW

Chemical: Ronilan (Vinclozolin)

100 Submission Purpose and Label Information

100.1 Submission Purpose and Pesticide Use

The State of Washington is requesting an emergency exemption (Section 18) for the use of Ronilan fungicide to control Sclerotinia white mold and Botrytis gray mold on snap beans. No new data were submitted with this request.

100.2 Formulation Information

Active Ingredient (Ronilan 50W):

Vinclozolin: 3-(3,5-dichlorophenyl)-  
5-ethenyl-5-methyl-2,4-  
oxazolidinedione . . . . .

50%

Inert Ingredients . . . . . 50%

100.3 Application Methods, Directions, Rates

- Apply 0.5 lb of active ingredient per acre (1.0 lb Ronilan 50W).
- A maximum of two applications per season may be made. - Applications must be made approximately 7 days apart, and must take place when at least 20% of the plants have one bloom open, between June 15 and September 15.
- Applications may be made with ground application equipment using 40 to 100 gallons of water per acre, or by air using 5 gallons of water per acre.

100.4 Target Organisms

Target organisms are white mold, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, and gray mold, Botrytis cinerea.

101 Hazard Assessment

101.1 Discussion

The State of Washington is requesting an emergency exemption for the use of Ronilan (vinclozolin) to control white mold and gray mold in snap beans. Maximum application rate is 0.5 lb ai per acre, with two applications allowed. Total acreage to be treated is 1,000 acres, statewide.

## 101.2 Likelihood of Adverse Effects on Nontarget Organisms

### Terrestrial Organisms

Data previously reviewed in EEB indicate that vinclozolin is practically nontoxic to birds on both an acute oral basis and a dietary basis. The available data on rats suggest that the chemical also has a low mammalian acute toxicity. Thus, significant acute hazards to populations of nontarget terrestrial organisms are not anticipated from the proposed use at 0.75 lb ai/acre.

A number of partial reports and data tables have been submitted concerning the toxicity of vinclozolin to honey bees. Although none of the reports are sufficient to satisfy the data requirement, all the submitted data suggest that vinclozolin is no more than slightly toxic to honey bees.

Our major concern with vinclozolin is potential chronic hazard to avian species. Data on avian reproduction suggest that the chemical may affect egg fertility at a dietary concentration of 5 ppm.

Following an initial application at 0.5 lb ai/acre, estimated residues on avian food items would range from 3.5 ppm on fruit to 120 ppm on short grass. Although these residues are well below acute toxicity triggers for birds, they exceed reproductive effect levels on most avian food items.

The registrant (BASF Wyandotte Corp.) is currently conducting a special avian reproduction study to more clearly assess chronic effects of vinclozolin in birds. Until this study is submitted and evaluated, EEB cannot assess avian reproduction hazard under the proposed exemption. However, the following points apply:

- 1) On the basis of information already reviewed, there is significant potential for vinclozolin to affect reproduction in birds exposed to the chemical via residues on food items. Use under the proposed exemption will result in residues which exceed the level at which effects on avian reproduction have been noted.
- 2) By way of mitigating the impact, maximum acreage to be treated under the exemption is 1,000 acres. Also, use on bean fields does not represent a high exposure situation for birds.

### Aquatic Organisms

Data from previous EEB reviews indicate that vinclozolin is no more than moderately toxic to freshwater fish (bluegill LC50 = 47.3 mg/L; rainbow trout LC50 > 18 mg/L). LC50 for Daphnia magna was determined to be 3.65 mg/L, indicating moderate toxicity.

Rough calculation of an aquatic EEC (see attached) provides a value

of 20.13 ppb in a pond 1 foot deep, residues being derived from drift and runoff. This EEC value is well below any hazard triggers for freshwater organisms. Thus, use under the proposed exemption is not expected to adversely affect nontarget aquatic organisms.

### 101.3 Endangered Species Considerations

As noted above, the primary concern with vinclozolin relates to potential reproductive impairment in birds. EEB's Endangered Species files show 1 federally listed species in Washington, the peregrine falcon. Hazard to this species should be negligible, as use on snap beans represents a minimal exposure situation for the falcon.

On the basis of toxicity data and estimated EEC's, hazard to listed non-avian species is not anticipated.

### 101.4 Adequacy of Toxicity Data

The existing database is not adequate to assess hazards to nontargets under the proposed exemption. Chronic hazard to birds cannot be assessed until the special avian reproduction testing is completed.

### 103 Conclusions

EEB has reviewed the proposed emergency exemption for the use of Ronilan (vinclozolin) on snap beans in Washington. EEB concludes that the proposed use may represent a reproductive hazard to birds, although use on beans represents a low exposure situation. Hazard to other nontargets is not anticipated.

There are no federally listed endangered/threatened species in Washington that will be adversely affected by the proposed use.

*Allen W. Vaughan* 7.6.89  
Allen W. Vaughan, Entomologist  
Ecological Effects Branch  
EFED (H7507C)

*James W. Akerman*  
James W. Akerman, Chief  
Ecological Effects Branch  
EFED (H7507C)

*Norman J. Cook* 7.7.89  
Norman J. Cook, Supervisory Biologist  
Ecological Effects Branch  
EFED (H7507C)

EEC CALCULATION SHEETI. For un-incorporated ground application

## A. Runoff

$$\underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ lb(s)} \times \frac{0.0\_\_}{(\_\% \text{ runoff})} \times \frac{10 \text{ (A)}}{(\text{from } 10 \text{ A. (tot.runoff) drainage basin})} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ lb(s)}$$

EEC of 1 lb a.i. direct application to 1 A. pond 6-foot deep = 61 ppb

$$\text{Therefore, EEC} = 61 \text{ ppb} \times \underline{\hspace{1cm}} (\text{lb}) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ ppb}$$

II. For incorporated ground application

## A. Runoff

$$\underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ lb(s)} \div \frac{\underline{\hspace{1cm}} (\text{cm})}{(\text{depth of incorporation})} \times \frac{0.0\_\_}{(\_\% \text{ runoff})} \times \frac{10 \text{ (A)}}{(10 \text{ A. (tot.runoff) d.basin})} = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ lb(s)}$$

$$\text{Therefore, EEC} = 61 \text{ ppb} \times \underline{\hspace{1cm}} (\text{lbs}) = \underline{\hspace{1cm}} \text{ ppb}$$

III. For aerial application (or mist blower)

## A. Runoff

$$\underline{0.5} \text{ lb(s)} \times \frac{0.6}{(\text{appl. efficiency})} \times \frac{0.01}{(1\% \text{ runoff})} \times \frac{10 \text{ (A)}}{(10 \text{ A. (tot.runoff) d.basin})} = \underline{0.03} \text{ lb(s)}$$

## B. Drift

$$\underline{0.5} \text{ lb(s)} \times \frac{0.05}{(5\% \text{ drift})} = \underline{0.025} \text{ lb(s)} \text{ (tot. drift)}$$

$$\text{Tot. loading} = \frac{0.03 \text{ lb(s)}}{(\text{tot. runoff})} + \frac{0.025 \text{ lb(s)}}{(\text{tot. drift})} = \underline{0.055} \text{ lb(s)}$$

$$\text{Therefore, EEC} = 61 \text{ ppb} \times \underline{0.055} (\text{lbs}) = \underline{3.355} \text{ ppb}$$

$$\times 6 = 20.13 \text{ ppb} \\ (\text{1' pond})$$